X

OIL & GAS CONSERVATION COMMISSION Meeting: May 21, 1969
Mr. John Bannister, Exec Sec.

÷1

: •

. . .

· - |



Oil and Gas Conservation Commission

STATE OF ARIZONA

1624 WEST ADAMS

Phoenix, Arizona 85007 PHONE: 271-5161

AGENDA

Meeting May 21, 1969 City of Douglas Council Chambers

10:00 a.m.

Call to order

- 1. Approval of minutes of meeting of April 16, 1969
- Executive Secretary report
- 3. ✓ Geologist report
- Old business announcement of
- Herr-McGredecision New business -1. Bunnister z Scherlock 3 Pge

Kerr Hearing - Motion - Grant well subject to testing to salisfaction of Comm-if can arrange test them- No go

Issue Order IPMORTOW

IF YOU ARE UNABLE TO ATTEND THIS MEETING, PLEASE NOTIFY THIS OFFICE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

Note change notice of Belly Chom. ship arrume to elected

OIL AND GAS CONSERVATION COMMISSION : 1624 West Adams - Suite 202 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

> Minutes of Meeting April 16, 1969

Present:

Mr. Lucien B. Owens, Chairman

Mr. George T. Siler, Vice Chairman

Mr. Ralph W. Bilby, Member

Mr. Kenneth G. Bentson, Member

Mr. Frank E. Moore, Member

Mr. John Bannister, Executive Secretary

Mr. James R. Scurlock, Geologist

Mr. James A. Lambert, Administrative

Assistant

Dr. Willard Pye, University of Arizona

Mr. Fritz Ryan, State Land Department.

Chairman Lucien B. Owens called the meeting to order at 9:30~a.m.

It was moved, seconded and passed that the minutes of the meeting of April 16, 1969, be approved.

Reports of the Executive Secretary and Geologist were accepted.

Discussion of the 1969-70 budget was postponed until the next meeting as final legislative approval has not been received.

Letters from the Mayor of the City of Douglas and the President of the Douglas Chamber of Commerce inviting the Commission to hold the May meeting in Douglas were read. The Executive Secretary was instructed to accept the invitations and the meeting was scheduled for Wednesday, May 21, 1969, at 10:00 a.m., at the City Council Chambers in Douglas, Arizona.

Dr. Willard Pye of the University of Arizona presented the Commission a bound copy of graduate student Richard Dunlap's thesis on the geology of the Pinta Dome area. This was given in appreciation for the assistance and use of logs, etc., in the preparation thereof.

Mr. Fritz Ryan extended greetings and congratulations from the State Land Department to Mr. Moore as a new Commissioner.

he elected It was moved, seconded and passed that Mr. Ralph Bilby assume Chairmanship of the Commission.

It was further moved, seconded and passed that Mr. Kenneth Bentson become Vice Chairman of the Commission.

www.

April 16, 1969
Page 2

A vote of thanks was extended to Chairman Owens and Vice Chairman Siler for the time and effort they expended in the past.

Meeting adjourned at 9:50 a.m. to be followed by hearing of Case 36.

APPROVED May 21, 1969

Ralph W. Bilby, Chairman

a.

Ω



OFFICE OF

Oil and Gas Conservation Commission

STATE OF ARIZONA

1624 WEST ADAMS

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

PHONE: 271-5161

May 13, 1969

Memo to: Commissioners From: John Bannister

Re: Report of Activity

I am enclosing a copy of the recommendations of Mr. Ewell Walsh concerning Kerr-McGee's Hearing, Case No. 36. I have discussed Mr. Walsh's recommendations at length with him and I am pleased to report we are in complete accord as to his recommendations. Consequently, it is my recommendation that Kerr-McGee's request to drill this additional well for scientific purposes be granted. However, I feel that should you grant this request, the order should contain language to the effect that immediately upon completion of the well testing procedures be instigated and that the well not be allowed to go into full production until such time as the Commission is satisfied with the spectrum of tests run. I feel that we should also indicate in the Order that Humble, Kerr-McGee and the Commission (and Mr. Walsh as consultant) meet together to work out complete testing procedures, however these procedures need not be a portion of the order and can be arrived at as needed at a later date.

I most strongly urge that, if my recommendation is accepted and Kerr-McGee is allowed to drill this well, production be delayed due to the fact that undue disturbance of reservoir conditions would be instigated, making the ultimate goal of the well, i.e., interference and drainage tests, much more difficult to secure and evaluate.

I have spoken to Chairman Bilby and he has suggested that the Commission meet prior to the called time of 10:00 a.m. to consider Kerr-McGee's request. Inasmuch as our 10:00 a.m. meeting is primarily a publicity effort, I consequently am taking the liberty of suggesting that we meet at 9:30 in the City Council Chambers to consider this matter. We, of course, will have full opportunity to discuss this Tuesday evening, also.

I am leaving for Douglas on May 14th to make arrangements for

O

our meeting. Mr. Moore and I will see that necessary reservations are secured. Mr. Bentson has advised that he will be out of the country during the time of this meeting. As soon as possible I will advise you as to hotel arrangements, etc. It is suggested that you try to time your arrival in Douglas prior to 7:00 Tuesday evening so that we all can enjoy dinner, etc., together.

There has recently been a substantial lease play in the Northern part of Mohave County and apparently a well will be drilled in the not too distant future in the center of the Northern portion, somewhere near the Utah border.

We are enjoying at this time drilling of more wells than the State has had in quite a while. Most drilling is concentrated, of course, in Apache County in and around and primarily North of the Dineh-bi Keyah Field. Consolidated has, as you are aware, brought in one discovery and are currently working on two offsets to this discovery well. One of the offsets is capable of producing 25 barrels of oil per day and the other approximately 10 barrels of oil per day. Consolidated is currently considering additional frac and acid treatments in an effort to increase production of both wells, however they have advised that they can produce both wells with some margin of profit.

I recently attended a meeting in Denver of a committee of the Four Corners Regional Commission, to which I was appointed by Governor Williams. This committee took under advisement mineral and fuel resources of the Four Corners area and arrived at the conclusion that a consulting firm be hired to make a basic initial study from which the committee would develop a plan for the stimulation of the mineral and fuel exploration of the four States. At my suggestion a sub-committee will be appointed to specifically consider the mineral and fuel problem on the Indian Reservations and in what manner their leasing procedures, regulations, etc., might be amended so as to offer more encouragement to exploration. This committee will meet again in the early part of June and it is anticipated the aforementioned sub-committee will be appointed at that time. The meeting was attended by representatives of the four States as well as the various Federal agencies concerned with minerals and fuels.

New Permits:

Hopkins #1 Coconino Cattle Co. Strat, Center W/2 W/2 NW/4 8-17N-5E, Yavapai County.

Riddle-Gottlieb #1 Navajo, SW NW 30-36N-27E, Apache County.

Hopkins #34-2 Federal, NE NW 34-18N-5E, Yavapai County.

Gulf #1 Navajo, NE NE 32-6N-7W, Apache County.

Depco #1 Navajo, NW NW 2-38N-30E, Apache County.



OFFICE OF

Oil and Gas Conservation Commission

STATE OF ARIZONA
ROOM 202
1624 WEST ADAMS

Phoenix, Arizona 85007
PHONE: 271-5161

May 13, 1969

GEOLOGIST'S REPORT

FROM: JAMES SCURLOCK TO: COMMISSIONERS

APACHE COUNTY

6

Consolidated Oil & Gas #1 Navajo

T41N, R28E, G & SRM

Sec. 2: SW/4 SW/4 Permit #481 East Boundary Butte Field

Currently pumping ten barrels of oil plus three barrels of water per day.

Consolidated Oil & Gas #2 Navajo

T41N, R28E, G & SRM

Sec. 3: NE/4 SE/4

East Boundary Butte Field

Permit #488 Still a waiting location.

Consolidated Oil & Gas #3 Navajo

T41N, R28E, G & SRM

Sec. 3: NE/4 SW/4

East Boundary Butte Field

Permit #490
Drill Stem Test #4 - Interval 5228-5300 (lower paradox).
Recovered 360' of gas-cut and slightly oil-cut mud and salt water plus 1740' of gas-cut salt water.

Drill Stem Test #5 - Interval 5675-5706 (Mississippian). Recovered 270' of gas-cut and oil-cut mud plus 2320' of gas-cut salt water.

Total depth - 5706'. Set $5\frac{1}{2}$ " casing to total depth with 300 sacks of cement.

Acidized with 1000 gallons of acid. Perforated Akah Limestone 4778' - 4882'. Installed pump. Currently pumping 25 barrels of oil plus 58 barrels of water per

1 0 5 kg

32×11

Page 2 Geologist's Report 5-13-69 APACHE COUNTY (Cont.) Consolidated Oil & Gas #3 Navajo (Cont.) day. Formation tops: Coconino 1848' Hermosa 3805' Ismay 4505' Desert Creek 4610' Akah 4769' Molas 5383 ' Mississippian 5529' Kerr-McGee #C-1 Navajo T36N, R30E, G & SRM Sec. 33: NW/4 SW/4 Permit #484 Acidized with 1500 gallons of acetic acid. Fracked with 80,220 gallons of crude oil plus 72 pounds of sand and 3500 pounds of adomite. Currently testing on pump. This field development well will probably be a small producer if at all. Odessa #2 Navajo 8447 T37N, R29E, G & SRM Sec. 33: SE/4 SE/4 Permit #489 Total depth 3605'. Dry and abandoned 4-13-69. No shows encountered. Formation tops: Chinle Surface De Chelly 404' Cutler 904 Hermosa 2303 Molas 2906' Mississippian 3008' Elbert 3160' McCracken 3327' Aneth 34291 PreCambrian 35901

DEFERENCE.

32×

Page 3 Geologist's Report 5-13-69

The state of the same of the s

APACHE COUNTY (Cont.)

Gulf #1 Navajo 1219

NE/4 NE/4

Still a waiting location.

T6N, R7W, NSM

Permit #496

```
Riddle-Gottlieb #1 Navajo 8841
T36N, R27E, G & SRM
Sec. 30: SW/4 NW/4
                                   "TIGHT HOLE"
Permit #494
     Spud 4-23-69. Contractor: Circle A Drilling Company.
     Set 8-5/8" to 250'. Total depth is 3460' at approxi-
     mately the top of the Mississippian. No shows were
     encountered. Dry and abandoned.
Gulf #1 Navajo BS
T37N, R29E, G & SRM
                                   "TIGHT HOLE"
Sec. 12: NW/4 NE/4
Permit #491
     Spud 4-15-69. Set 8-5/8" at 368' with 200 sacks.
     Drill stem test (Barker Creek Zone) 3200-3325. Good
     blow throughout test (nonflammable gas; probably
     helium bearing). Recovered 300' of gas-cut mud. No
     show of oil.
     Formation tops:
          DeChelly
                                    755'
          Hermosa
                                   25201
          Barker Creek
                                   3226'
          Molas
                                   3460'
          Mississippian
                                   3530'
                                   3700'
          Ouray
                                   3730'
          Elbert
          Igneous
                                   38401
     Total depth - 4145'. Dry and abandoned.
```

Š.

100 October 1800 O

32×

O

Page 4
Geologist's Report
5-13-69

YAVAPAI COUNTY

Hopkins #34-1Y Federal
T18N, R5E, G & SRM
Sec. 34: SW/4 NW/4 "TIGHT HOLE"
Permit #482
Continuing to pump fresh water.

Hopkins #1 Frye Strat T17N, R5E, G & SRM Sec. 34: SW/4 NW/4 "TIGHT HOLE" Permit #492

Total depth - 1405' in granite. No shows.

Formation tops:

Permian Supai Surface

Mississippian Redwall 582'

Devonian Martin 753'

Cambrian Tapeats 1232'

Pre-Cambrian Igneous 1273'

Pre-Cambrian Granite 1370'

Good water flow at 945'. Well was turned over to land owner as water well. Abandoned April 24, 1969.

Hopkins #1 Coconino Cattle Company Strat T17N, R5E, G & SRM Sec. 8: C/W/2 NW/4 "TIGHT HOLE"

Permit #493

Total depth - 1195' in granite. No shows. Well turned over to land owner as a water well. Abandoned 5-5-69. Formation tops:

Supai Surface
Mississippian Redwall 512'
Devonian Martin 696'
Cambrian 1120'
Granite Wash 1152'
Granite Pre-Cambrian 1193'

Hopkins #34-2 Federal
T18N, R5E, G & SRM
Sec. 34: NE/4 NW/4 "TIGHT HOLE"
Permit #495
Set 10-3/4" at 51' with 60 sacks of cement. Currently drilling 8-3/4" hole at 1043'in Devonian dolomite.
Formation tops:
Mississippian 500' (Approximately)
Devonian 660' (Approximately)

000

Page 5 Geologist's Report 5-13-69

SCUTTLEBUTT:

¢

The Arizona Oil Report has discontinued publication leaving the Southwest Oil and Gas News--a weekly publication--as our remaining industry newspaper.

Texaco has filed approximately 25,000 acres in Mohave County in Townships 38, 39, 40, and 41 North-Ranges 7 and 8 West. This lease play has probably been prompted by the show of oil encountered approximately 30 miles to the north in Washington County, Utah, in the Buttes Gas and Oil #1-C-36 Anderson Junction Well which is currently being drilled by Willard Pease Drilling Company in Sec. 36 T40S, R13W. This well had a show of oil in the Pennsylvanian. Well was put on pump; pumped 14 barrels of oil per day. Plans now are to deepen this test with cable tools.

The Arizona Helium Corporation in Navajo has three wells currently tied into the plant. They are: the Eastern #21 Ancon Santa Fe (Sec. 31-T20N-R28E), the Eastern #35 Santa Fe (Sec. 27-T20N-R27E), and the Crest #1 Santa Fe (Sec. 25-T20N-R27E). The plant was put on stream on April 10 with these three wells delivering approximately 450,000 cubic feet of raw gas per day. Since then production has declined to approximately 200,000 cubic feet of gas per day because of water encroachment in the #1 Santa Fe and the Eastern #35 Santa Fe.

A compressor located approximately $\frac{1}{4}$ mile from the plant strengthens the line pressure to 200 pounds as it enters the plant.

The raw gas consists of approximately 8.8% helium. Thus, at the rate of 200,000 cubic feet of gas per day, the plant should theoretically be able to produce 528 MCF of Grade A Helium per month. This is about a third of what is estimated to be required to break even economically.

Immediate plans include working over the Crest #8X Well (Sec. 25-T20N-R27E). This well has had some problems with water; however, the operator has hopes in this well. This #8X Well is already tied into the plant but is not in production.

Thus the over-all aspect of the Arizona Helium Plant remains poor. It would appear that their only hope in this enterprise is to develop additional helium reserves on their own acreage. We understand that the company plans to drill a number of exploratory wells in the Navajo area this year.

Page 6 Geologist's Report 5-13-69

SCUTTLEBUTT: (Cont.)

Rumor has it that Depco will drill a wildcat in Apache County in Sec. 2-T38N-R30E. This well would be located approximately 15 miles north of the Dineh bi Keyah Field.

It is also rumored that the Phoenix Eastern Oil Fields, Incorporated (Warren, Pennsylvania) is scheduled to drill a wildcat well in the SE/4 of Sec. 2-T24S-R31E, Cochise County. This will be a 7000' test located approximately 25 miles east of Douglas.

÷

32×11

MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT TOTAL RECEIPTS UNAPPROPRIATED APPROPRIATED ALL RECEIPTS MONTH OF RECEIPTS RECEIPTS CLASSIFICATION YEAR TO DAT april 1969 825.00 00 Permits to dril 150 00 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 TOTAL CURRENT MONTH RECEIPTS 150 00 TRANSFERS IN (200 00) BALANCES BROUGHT FORWARD 5, 632 75 TOTALS - MONTH AND YEAR TO DATE 825,00 9 8 10 11 ______ CLAIMS PAID TOTAL AMOUNT AVAILABLE EXPENDITURES OUTSTANDING UNENCUMBERED **CLAIMS PAID** MONTH OF YEAR TO DATE **FUND TITLES** YEAR TO DATE **ENCUMBRANCES** BALANCE april 19 69 l Personal Services: General Fund 30,476 26 3,725,00 36,35000 <u>5,823.74</u> 6,68750 Conservation Fund 9,000 00 5,54500 4 Current Expenditures 4,10409 759 89 795/3 1,284 84 5 Travel - State 3,779 37 480 79 350 85 6 Travel - Out of State 1,599.63 3,248 00 1, 474 37 174 00 7 Current Fixed Charges 525 00 119 15 284/00 121.85 8 Professional Services 2,000/00 25000 1, 239 38 200 62 52063 9 Capital Outlay 58200 51792 10 Museum N. Arizona 2,500,00 1,875 00 625 00 11 Arizona Bureau Mines 625 60 2,500 00 2,500,00 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 TOTALS 6,314 30 52,054 28 48,265 00 TO BE FILED WITH THE POST AUDITOR BY THE 15TH OF EACH MONTH FORM 12-A POST AUDITOR

Contraction of the Contraction o

0-000-000	identification code no		DIVISION
4	3	2	1
CLAIMS PAID	DISTRIBUTION OF EXPENDITURES	OBJECT	CLAIMS PAID
MONTH OF		CODE NO.	YEAR TO DATE
april 19	CLASSIFICATION		
3,725		110	35,988 76
200,	2 Per diem: board members 2	150	1,175 00
	3	_	
	4 Postage 4	211	287/3
122.0	5 Telephone, telegraph 5	212	1 383 86
43.6	6 Travel -State meals and lodging 6	221	1 536 99
76.	7 mileage reimbursed private car7		71860
	8 fares for planes, trains, etc.8		11748
	9 State-owned auto expense 9		1,317 15
4,3	10 telephone, taxi, etc. 10		29 15
1	registration fees at meetings		60 00
	12		
	13		
73.	14 Travel-out of State meals and lodging 14	231	29202
121,	15 fares for plane, train 15	233	105350
	16 State-owned auto expensel6	234	750
- 	17 telephone, taxi, etc. 17	235	8/351
	18 registration - meetings 18	236	40 00
	19		
2.00.0	20 Professional services 20	240	52062
	21		
129.0	22 Mntns/repairs to office equip., furniture 22	262	47.88
	23 Legal advt., notary, court, recording fees 23		44091
	24 Transportation of things (well samples) 24	295	
625	25 Miscellaneous (blueprint service, Ariz Bu 25	299	4,467.19
	26 Mines, Museum N. Arizona) 26		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	27 27		
	28		
543.	29 Office supplies 29	310	9837/
, J 75.	30 Scientific supplies 30	360	555 03
	31 Mntns supplies (duplicate keys) 31	370	3 06
	32 Other supplies (film) 32	390	6033
	33 33		
	34 34		
	35 Rent, office equip: copy machine 35	411	
	36 36		
	37 Bond (notary public) 37	421	1450
	38 Subscription/organization dues 38		10465
رت. کست ا	39		
<u> </u>	40 40		
165	41 Office equipment/furniture 41	611	5/792
	42 42		
	43		
	44 44		
	45 45		
	46 To create revolv fund 46	995	25000
	474747		
	48 48		
	49 49		
	50 50		
	51 51		
	52 52		
	53 53		
I	54 . 54		
	55 55		
1	TOTAL		5205428

4

O D

32×11

0

CHARGE CO.

nt.

EWELL N. WALSH. P.E.

PETROLEUM ENGINEERING

RESERVOIR STUDIES

GEOLOGICAL STUDIES

EVALUATIONS

WALSH ENGINEFRING & PROD

ENGINEERING & PRODUCTION CORPORATION

PETROLEUM CLUB PŁAZA BUILDING P. O. BOX 254 FARMINGTON, NEW MEXICO 87401

May 6, 1969

LEASE MANAGEMENT CONTRACT PUMPING EPILLING SUPERVISION WORKGVER SUPERVISION

TELEPHONE 325-8203

Mr. John Bannister Executive Secretary Oil & Gas Conservation Commission State of Arizona 1624 W. Adams, Room 202 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Ref: Case No. 36

Kerr-NcGee Corporation
For permission to drill and produce
an additional Lower Hermosa Formation
well in the SE/4 of Section 32,
Township 36 North, Range 30 East,
Dineh-bi-Keyah Field, Apache County,
Arizona.

Dear Mr. Bannister:

I have reviewed all the data, exhibits and transcript of testimony presented at the hearing of the above-referred-to case.

Kerr McGee Corporation presented testimony as follows:

- Propose to drill, complete and produce a well, Navajo No. 11, in the NW/4SE/4 Section 32, T36N, R30E, Dineh-bi-Keyah Field.
- 2. The purpose of drilling the well is to obtain scientific information to determine if the 160-acre spacing is or is not the proper spacing on which to develop the Dineh-bi-Keyah Field.
- 3. In order to obtain scientific information, the well would be produced and producing bottom hole pressures recorded.
- 4. Past pressure surveys and completion attempts indicate that inconsistencies are present in the field that could indicate that one well is not effectively draining 160 acres.

G

-2-

May 6, 1969

- Granting of application will protect correlative rights.
- 6. Granting of application will result in optimum recovery of hydrocarbons from the field.

The following are my comments concerning the Kerr McGee testimony.

1. The proposed location of the well does not directly offset any other operator other than the Kerr McGee Corporation. The proposed location, in Section 32, T26N, R30E, is located almost in the center of four presently producing wells.

There is no objection to the location of the well.

2. The purpose of drilling the well to obtain scientific information, presumably reservoir information, concerning drainage radius of wells.

The drilling of the well will enable the operator to obtain scientific or reservoir information to determine an effective radius of drainage of the wells in Section 32. The information will be valuable in determining if the 160-acre spacing is the proper spacing for the field.

There is no objection to drilling the well for this purpose.

3. The scientific information will be obtained by producing the well and recording the bottom hole producing pressures.

The pressure information is necessary in determining the effective drainage radius of a well. The method of using the producing bottom hole pressures is not, in my opinion, the proper method to use in obtaining the necessary pressure information. The necessary information, in my opinion, can be only obtained by shutting in wells Nos. 1, 2, 3-X and 4 at the time of completion, recovery of load oil and initial potential of No. 11. This will allow the reservoir to stabilize as much as possible in a reasonable time and then produce well Nos. 1, 2, 3-X and 4 while observing the pressure in No. 11. Well No. 11 would remain shut in

- 3-

May 6, 1969

until it was determined, by bottom hole pressure recordings, that the well is or is not being affected by the production from Well Nos. 1, 2, 3-X and 4.

Kerr McGee Corporation stated, during the testimony, that they would be willing to submit, assuming that an order would grant permission to drill and produce Well No. 11, to the Commission a testing schedule, for Commission approval, for obtaining the necessary information. They also stated that if Humble Oil Company personnel wished to meet with Kerr McGee and Commission personnel concerning the testing schedule they would not have an objection.

There is no objection to obtaining the scientific information in so far as it is determined that the correct information is obtained in a proper manner.

4. Past pressure surveys and completion attempts indicate inconsistencies in field.

In my opinion, the past pressure surveys do indicate inconsistencies, which can be normal between wells in the same reservoir; however, they also could indicate effective communication between wells. Due to not having information normally obtained from an interference test, the drilling of Well No. 11 can help to determine if there is or is not effective communication between wells.

5. Granting of application will protect correlative rights.

In my opinion, the proposed location of the well will not have an effect on the correlative rights of other companies or company due to the fact that the well does not directly offset any well except those operated by Kerr McGee Corporation.

6. Granting of application will result in optimum recovery.

In my opinion, the drilling of the proposed well will present information that will enable the operators and Commission to determine if the field is being developed in a proper spacing.



O

-4.

May 6, 1969

Humble Oil Company presented testimony as follows:

1. Previous pressure information on wells in Section 32, when extrapolated to a point of 72 hours, indicated that wells were in communication.

In my opinion, the pressure information does indicate the possibility of the wells being in communication; however, information is not available that is normally obtained from an interference test.

2. The drilling of another well in Section 32 will affect the drainage pattern of the other wells in Section 32 and, therefore, create a chain effect on drainage patterns of wells that will affect Humble's wells.

In my opinion, the probability of such effect can happen; however, such effect would not be to the extent that the correlative rights of Humble would be affected. The majority of the effect would occur in the wells owned by Kerr McGee, and the Humble Well No. 138-1, located in Section 6, T35W, R30E, would be protected by the Kerr McGee Well "B", 1-X located in Section 5, T35W, R30E.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The following are my recommendations concerning Case No. 36.

- 1. Fermission be granted to drill the proposed Navajo No. 11 in the SE/4 of Section 32, Township 36 North, Range 30 East, Apache County, Arizona.
- 2. That upon completion, after recovery of load oil and initial potential, the Navajo No. Il remain shut in until completion of interference test.
- 3. That the Wells Navajo 1, 2, 3-X and 4 be shut in at the same time Well No. 11 is shut in and record bottom hole pressure build up with bottom hole sentry.
- 4. That a bottom hole pressure bomb be run in Well No. 11 at time of shut in, or, if necessary to pull tubing, at the time the tubing is pulled from well. The



-5-

May 6, 1969

bottom hole pressure bomb should remain in Well No. 11 to continuously record bottom hole pressures throughout the entire interference test or a maximum of 96 hours. In the event there is no indication at the end of 96 hours of fluid movement from the area of Well No. 11, the well shall remain shut in and bottom hole pressures recorded daily until permission is granted by the Commission to produce the well.

- 5. Initiate production from the Navajo No. 4 twenty-four hours after Wells Nos. 1, 2, 3-X, 4 and 11 were shut in and not before bottom hole pressure bomb is run in Well No. 11.
- 6. Initiate production from the Navajo No. 3-X well approximately 36 hours after all above wells were shut in.
- 7. Initiate production from the Navajo No. 2 well approximately 48 hours after all above wells were shut in.
- 8. Initiate production from the Navajo No. 1 well approximately 60 hours after all above wells were shut in.
- 9. That the before recommended testing procedure be used at the time the Navajo Well No. 11 is completed and before the well is put on continuous production.

You may note that I have incorporated some of the procedure suggested by Kerr McGee concerning the test program. Objections from Kerr McGee on my suggested procedure will probably be as follows.

 Navajo No. 1! remain shut in and not produced if well is completed before the scheduled July, 1969, bottom hole pressure survey.

In my opinion, the well should not be put on continuous production until after the completion of the interference test in order to prevent disturbing the pressure or fluid movement in the area of the Navajo No. 11 well.

2. Shut in of Navajo 1, 2, 3-X and 4 longer than they suggested.



May 6, 1969

In my opinion, during the initial stage, the shut in time should be a minimum of 24 hours. The 24 hours should be a minimum time to allow the reservoir to stabilize as much as possible. They have suggested a minimum of 12 hours shut in during the initial stage; however, they have only stated that the 12 hours is sufficient and have not presented any bottom hole pressure build up curves to substantiate the shorter time interval.

If you wish to have further explanation, please do not hesitate to call upon me.

> Very truly yours, Eucl Mullingly

Ewell N. Walsh, P. E.

Fresident

ENW:1t

