AGENDA

Meeting
July 16, 1969
Room 204, Arizona State Office Bldg.

10:00 a.m. Call to order

1. Approval of minutes of meeting of May 21, 1969.

2. Executive Secretary report

3. Geologist report

4. Old business: Revenue $3,800 or 4.5%

5. New business

6. Adjourn

[Signature]

[Note: If you are unable to attend this meeting, please notify the office as soon as possible.]
July 8, 1969

Memo: Commissioners
From: John Bannister
Re: Report of Activity

I am enclosing a statement prepared pursuant to the request of the Department of Economic Planning and Development. This statement reflects many discussions that the Commission has had concerning the problem of exploration. I would like to stress that, as pointed out in the statement, this is not the official position of the Commission nor will it be all points that might be discussed at our meeting with the Development Board on July 17th. Needless to say, all Commissioners are urged to attend the meeting with the Development Board at 1:30 p.m. July 17. The Development Board is located at 3003 North Central Avenue in the Mayer Central Building on the 17th floor.

It is my feeling that the interest expressed by the Development Board in the problem of encouraging the development of oil and gas within the State is most commendable and, I hope, will be most fruitful. We can discuss at length these and other problems at our meeting on the 16th.

Since our last meeting on May 21 the Commission has been audited by the Auditor General's office. Mr. Harold Herl made the audit, which covered the last four years. To date he has expressed satisfaction with the financial affairs of this Commission and everything seems to be in complete order. He has, however, commented that the Commission seems to use too much gasoline. We will reserve any comment until we see what criticism may develop on this point. As you know, the cars are specifically assigned to Mr. Scurlock and myself on a twenty-four hour basis, inasmuch as we are subject to call at any time. Both cars, of course, are used for transportation to and from the office.
I attended the I.O.C.C. meeting in Casper, Wyoming on June 16th. This organization has expressed some concern over objections to it from the Federal Legislature, however the Governors of the producing states have all urged their congressional representatives to support the extension of the Compact. It is anticipated that there will not actually be undue delay in securing this extension. The opinion of the I.O.C.C. staff as well as some of the Federal officials in attendance seemed to be that this is a continuation of the large anti-oil push currently going on in Washington. The subject of depletion allowances which threaten the oil industry was discussed, however the I.O.C.C. properly did not take a stand upon this issue. The next meeting of this body will be in Salt Lake City the early part of December, with an executive meeting to be held in Anchorage in September.

Currently, there are sixteen wells drilling in the State, of which all but six are actively being pursued. The six wells not actually drilling are on temporary abandoned status awaiting testing. These are all helium wells in the Pinta Dome-Naavao Springs area; two are Hopkins wells in Sedona.

I might report I learned today that at long last the Forest Service will issue the Hopkins permits. They indicated one permit in Section 34, Township 18 North, Range 5 East will clear today and that the remaining permits will be cleared within two weeks. The Bureau of Land Management in Phoenix, as well as this Commission, have been actively seeking cooperation of the Forest Service in this area. These permits have been hanging fire since February of this year.

It looks as through 1969 will be a banner year for drilling in this State. We still have persistent rumors that wells are to be drilled in Cochise County, however as yet no applications have been forthcoming. The location in Mohave County, being in the NE/4 NE/4 of Section 29, Township 38 North, Range 7 West, some 22 miles south of Colorado City, should be spudded shortly.

The Commission has updated and re-issued its location map, a copy of which is enclosed for your records. We are also updating our geologic map in the northeast section of the State and this should be re-printed within the next two weeks. Both of these maps have accomplished a great deal for this Commission and it is hoped our efforts in this direction may be increased as time and money permit.

On June 20, 1969, I issued a permit to Odessa Natural Gasoline
Company for its No. 1 Navajo 8898. This well is located in the SW/4 NW/4 of Section 10, Township 35 North, Range 30 East. Through my error I neglected to note this location was not in compliance with our Commission Order No. 21. This order, which was dated May 10, 1967, sets forth that a well drilled in Section 10, Township 35 North, Range 30 East, shall be either a NW or SE 1/4 1/4 location and have 160 acres dedicated to it. When I discovered my error, I wrote to the company and pointed out that, through my error, the location of this well was unorthodox according to Order 21. A corrected dedication, i.e. NW change, has been made. It is to be noted that the well is in an extremely mountainous area and, had our Order 21 been fully complied with, an unorthodox location would have been granted due to topographical reasons. However, this is not an excuse. I flat goofed. Should this well result in a dry hole, no harm will have been done, however should production occur, the Commission will have to call a hearing and authorize this unorthodox location. The only harm which would result would be one well off-spacing within a correct spacing unit. I do not anticipate any big problem resulting from my error.

The leasing of State and Federal lands within Arizona has settled down and we must now wait to see what drilling may result from the recent lease plays.

The Commission has closed its books for the fiscal year 1968-69. All claims are in with a few exceptions. These exceptions are normal year-end holdovers and will be handled as part of our normal routine.

I am pleased to report that the staff has received approximately a 5% pay raise pursuant to our new budget. These raises will be in effect as of July 1st.

New Permits:

Odessa #1 Navajo 8898, SW/4 NW/4 10-35N-30E, Apache County
Harris #1 Federal 3758A, NW/4 NE/4 29-30N-7W, Mohave County
Montanto #1 Navajo 717 (Carrizo), SE/4 SE/4 1-39N-29E, Apache County
Thourean #1 Santa Fe, NE/4 SW/4 27-21N-26E, Apache County
Thourean #1 Navajo 1767, NE/4 SW/4 25-21N-25E, Apache County
New Permits, Contd:

Kerr-McGee #11 Navajo 8832, NW/4 SE/4 32-36N-30E, Apache County

Gulf #1 Navajo 2290, NE/4 NE/4 34-37N-30E, Apache County

Globe Minerals #1 Navajo 10022, SE/4 SE/4 5-41N-28E, Apache County
GEOLOGIST'S REPORT

FROM: JAMES SCURLOCK
TO : COMMISSIONERS

APACHE COUNTY

Consolidated Oil & Gas #1 Navajo
T41N, R28E, G & SRM
Sec. 2: SW/4 SW/4
Permit #481
Have moved in completion rig. Pulled rods, pump, and tubing. Preparing to test lower zone in the Pennsylvanian.

Consolidated Oil & Gas #2 Navajo
T41N, R28E, G & SRM
Sec. 3: NE/4 SE/4
Permit #488
Still a waiting location.

Globe Minerals (AAA) #E3
T41N, R28E, G & SRM
Sec. 9: NE/4 NE/4
Permit #483
Completed as an oil well. Pumping 576 barrels of oil per day plus 24 barrels of water. Gravity 38.8°. Productive horizon Pennsylvanian Desert Creek and Akab formations. Completed 6-10-69 as a development well in the East Boundary Butte Field.

Seven-inch casing set at total depth of 5173'. Perforations from 4756-50', 4810-13', 4895-96', and 5044-5046'.

Formation tops:
- Chinle: 782'
- Shinarump: 1989'
- De Chelly: 2045'
- Cutler: 2380'
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APACHE COUNTY (Cont.)

Globe Minerals (AAA) #E3 (Cont.)
Formation tops: (Cont.)
Upper Hermosa 3955'
Ismay 4532'
Desert Creek 4886'
Akab 4884'
Total Depth 5173'

Globe Minerals #1 Navajo 10022
T41N, R28E, G & SRM
Sec. 5: SE/4 SE/4
Permit #508

A waiting location. Proposed depth 5700'. This will be a Mississippian test, a step-out in the East Boundary Butte Field. Contractor will be Mesa Drillers from Farmington.

Depco #1 Navajo 1546
T38N, R30E, G & SRM
Sec. 2: NW/4 NW/4
Permit #497

Total depth of 5112'. No Drill Stem Tests or cores taken. Well bottomed in igneous sill which had penetrated the Devonian formation.
Formation tops:
DeChelly 1336'
Hermosa 3098'
Molas 4404'
Igneous Sill 4425'
Molas 4448'
Mississippian 4506'
Devonian 4712'
Elbert 4730'
Igneous Sill 4784'
Total Depth 5112'

Kerr-McGee #C-1 Navajo
T36N, R30E, G & SRM
Sec. 33: NW/4 SW/4
Permit #484
Completed on pump for 12 barrels of oil per day plus 1 barrel of water. Gravity of oil 39°. Gas-Oil Ratio 167. Productive interval 3452-3501'. This well is structurally high but small production is explained by
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APACHE COUNTY (Cont.)

Kerr-McGee #C-1 Navajo (Cont.)
the fact that the intrusive sill here is tight. Total
depth is 3590'.
Formation tops:
Chinle 270'
Coconino 1152'
Cutler 1858'
Hermosa 2974'
Intrusive 3452'
Hermosa 3501'

Kerr-McGee #11 Navajo 8832
T36N, R30E, G & SRM
Sec. 32: NW/4 SE/4
Permit #506
Still a location. Proposed depth 3020' in the lower
Hermosa. This will be a development well in the Dineh
bi Keyah Field. Ground elevation 7402'.

Monsanto #1 Navajo 717
T39N, R29E, G & SRM
Sec. 1: SE/4 SW/4
Permit #503
Still a waiting location. Well will be located 12 miles
south of Teec Nos Pos. Proposed depth estimated from
6100 to 7600' depending on the amount of intrusive igneous
material encountered.
This well is located at a very high elevation in the
Carrizo Mountains which in themselves represent a large
igneous intrusive body of tertiary age. Ground eleva-
tion is 8895'.
Contractor is Cactus Drilling Company. They are currently
building roads. Road cost is reported at approximately
$30,000.
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APACHE COUNTY (Cont.)

Gulf #1 Navajo 2290
T37N, R30E, G & SRM
Sec. 34: NE/4 NE/4
Permit #507
Still a location. Well site is located 6 miles northeast of Dineh bi Keyah Field. Contractor will be Circle A Drilling Company. Proposed depth is 4400'. Ground elevation is 6047'.

Thoureen #1 Santa Fe
T21N, R26E, G & SRM
Sec. 27: NE/4 SW/4
Permit #504
Spud date 6-20-69. Set 7" casing at 31' with 5 sacks. Currently drilling at 850'. This is an 1100' Coconino test. Contractor is Eastern Petroleum. Ground elevation is 3869'.

Gulf #1 Navajo 1481
T4N, R7W, NSM
Sec. 11: SW/4 SW/4
Permit #409
Located 26 miles northwest of Pt. Defiance. Spud 6-3-69. Plugged and abandoned 6-16-69. Total depth is 2375'. Ground elevation 7434'.
Formation tops:
- Organ Rock 900'
- Hermosa 1630'
- Mississippian 1865'
- Devonian 1890'

Odessa #1 Navajo 8898
T35N, R30E, G & SRM
Sec. 10: SW/4 NW/4
Permit #501
Spud date 6-27-69. Contractor is Loffland Brothers from Farmington. Proposed depth is 4900'. Ground elevation 9260'. This will be a basement test. Odessa probably is looking for both oil and helium in this step-out well which is located 2 miles southeast of the Dineh bi Keyah Field.
APACHE COUNTY (Cont.)

Gulf #1 Navajo 1797
T4N, R7W, NSM
Sec. 36: SW/4 SW/4 "TIGHT HOLE"
Permit #500
Located 22 miles northwest of Ft. Defiance. Contractor is Circle A Drilling Company. Spud date 6-17-69.
Plugged and abandoned 6-27-69. Total depth 2032'.
Ground elevation 7558'.
Formation tops:
Organ Rock 876'
Ismay 1536'
No Mississippian present
Devonian Elbert 1720'
Total depth 2032'

YAVAPAI COUNTY

Hopkins #34-1Y Federal
T18N, R3E, G & SRM
Sec. 34: SW/4 NW/4 "TIGHT HOLE"
Permit #482
Well pumping approximately 50 barrels of water per day.

Riddle #3-A Federal
T17N, R4E, G & SRM
Sec. 3: NE/4 NE/4
Permit #485
Moved cable tool rig back on hole. Total depth 1242'.
Encountered bridge with cable tools at 775'. Attempted
to cement 7" casing with Halliburton. Cement job un-
successful. Have now removed rig from location. Plan-
ing to move back in with the rotary rig and use high-
pressure jet stream of clear water in attempting to push
the mud back into the formation.

MOHAVE COUNTY

Harris #1 Federal 2758A
T38N, R7W, G & SRM
Sec. 29: NW/4 NE/4
Permit #502
Proposed depth 3000'. Well located 21 miles north of
MOHAVE COUNTY  
(Cont.)

Harris #1 Federal 3758A  (Cont.)
Twisp. Will be drilled with cable tools. Contractors are Ed Burdige and Glade Stubbs of Virgin, Utah. Ground elevation 5200'. Still a waiting location.

SCUTTLEBUTT:

Sporadic leasing continues in Cochise County. Aztec Oil and Gas Company, Dallas, Texas, has recently taken 20,000 acres of Federal leases in the San Simon Valley. This acreage lies in Townships 10, 11, 12, and 13 South; Ranges 28, 29, 30, and 31 East. Approximately 6,000 acres is located in Graham County and 13,000 acres in Cochise County.

Cockrell is reported to have spudded his Hidalgo County, New Mexico, well on June 28. This is a Persian test. Proposed depth is 8000'. This well is located approximately 25 miles southeast of Lordsburg and 35 miles east of the Arizona line. This is the first of a three-well program. Currently drilling at about 400'.

Gene Carpenter, Consulting Geologist, Phoenix, is putting together a Devonian wildcat in Gila County which will be located approximately 2 miles north of Roosevelt lake in Section 5, Township 4 North, Range 13 East. This will be the first wildcat well in Gila County. Proposed depth is 3000'.
Statement - Oil & Gas Conservation Commission 7-9-69

Gentlemen:

There is no organization closer to the oil and gas industry of Arizona than is the Oil and Gas Conservation Commission. This Commission not only enforces its rules and regulations but works closely with the various segments of the industry in all phases of its operations. We find in many cases that we become the industry's troubleshooter inasmuch as there is not, at this time, any other organization to which they can turn for help with their various problems. The Commission recognizes that there is no magic formula by which we can say to the industry "Drill more wells within our State - find more oil to help solve our economic problems and to further this industry".

Since February of 1967 with the discovery of the Dineh-bi-Keyah field in Apache County, the interest of the industry within our State has definitely been on the upswing. Currently we are enjoying more exploration than we have had since that discovery year. The leasing of land for oil and gas purposes within the State is likewise on the upturn. I am sure you are aware of the recent leasing activity in Graham, Greenlee and Cochise Counties wherein some 650,000 acres of State and Federal land have been placed under oil and gas leases within a two month period. In many conversations with both independent and integrated oil companies I am pleased at their continued optimism as to the oil and gas future.
of our State. It is but a question of time before sizeable exploration does occur in Arizona.

Currently, oil companies have been operating on limited exploration budgets and they have chosen to expend most of these budgets in states where larger and widespread production tends to lend more encouragement to wildcatting. However, the international situation and the Federal Government's discouragement of foreign expenditures for exploration purposes all tend to act in favor of our State.

I would like to discuss with you what the Oil and Gas Commission considers some of the most pressing problems and deterrents as to industry development:

1. Geology. The State of Arizona has done but little oil and gas geological work. The nearest approach we have to a State geology survey is the Bureau of Mines in Tucson. As you may know, this bureau is under the direction of Dean Forrester, who also heads the College of Mines. As the name implies, the majority of its efforts have been directed toward the mining interests, particularly that of copper. This is not in the way of criticism inasmuch as the mining industry contributes so much to our State, however it is the Commission’s feeling that a portion of the effort of this organization should be directed toward purely oil and gas purposes. It should develop new oil and gas geology and secure the dissemination
of this to all interested parties. In truth, it is the Commission's feeling that the Bureau of Mines should be expanded into a State Geological Survey with all geological land uses being explored and information published and disseminated.

As you know, this Commission maintains permanent files of all wells drilled for oil and gas within the State. Due to our budget limitations and shortage of manpower, the Commission is not able to fully utilize all the information in its possession. As an example, samples of each well are collected and stored by this Commission however, due to limited budget and lack of personnel, these samples are collected and stored at the Museum of Northern Arizona in Flagstaff (as to all wells north of the Mogollon Rim) and all wells, regardless of location, at the Bureau of Mines in Tucson. The repositories are used inasmuch as the Commission does not have sufficient storage space nor does it have personnel to catalog and handle these samples. Ideally, the Commission's records, as well as all geology samples, should be housed at one location so that a visiting geologist seeking information has only to come to the Commission and need not go from Phoenix to Flagstaff or Tucson to look at samples to get the complete geological picture.

I might add that the Commission does contribute $2,500 per year to each of the above-named institutions for services rendered, however due to limited facilities of these depositories, complete use and running of samples is not done.
In March of 1969, through the efforts of the Commission, a contract was let from the Four Corners Regional Commission to the Bureau of Mines to do a geological study of the State concerning itself with oil and gas and with coal and uranium. Upon completion of the study, Arizona will be somewhat in the position that such surrounding states as Utah, Colorado and New Mexico were some 30 to 40 years ago, that is, initial geological work for oil and gas purposes has been initiated. This Commission hopes that further contracts can be secured for the continuation of these studies.

The initial study will be extremely broad in scope, cataloging, among other things, such works as are now in existence and containing some originally developed geological information. This work, when completed, will be available through the Four Corners Regional Commission, the Bureau of Mines, and the Oil and Gas Commission.

The Commission feels that this is a step in the right direction but only a beginning step at this point.

Perhaps the greatest encouragement that can be given the oil and gas industry is in the development of new geological reports wherein the potential areas of Arizona are delineated and information which will encourage exploration thinking on the part of the companies is developed. We cannot stress the need in this field too greatly. This Commission does have a charge upon it to encourage development of this industry. We have taken such steps as
the development and publishing of maps on a limited scope. Unfortunately, due to budgetary and manpower limitations, the Commission cannot pursue an active role at this time in this endeavor.

2. Taxation. Another step which the Commission feels would be of the utmost encouragement to the oil and gas industry is the subject of taxation of production. There has been some study made by the Legislature, however this has been inconclusive in nature. Much of the information given to the Legislature was, in my opinion, prejudicial and protecting the interest of individuals rather than being completely factual. As the situation now exists, the oil industry is faced with a great spread of ad valorem taxes upon production. Generally speaking, in Arizona our production ad valorem taxes run approximately 6 to 7% and, as you know, there are some taxing districts within the State wherein the ad valorem tax might run as high as 15%. This unknown factor is feared by producing companies, particularly inasmuch as when planning their budgets they are unable to predict the affect of the taxing structures in Arizona on their income.

It is the suggestion of this Commission that there be considered for the oil and gas industry and perhaps to other extractive industries a 5% severance tax in lieu of all other forms of ad valorem taxes upon production. This money should be collected monthly by the State and disbursements made down to the counties on
the development and publishing of maps on a limited scope. Unfortunately, due to budgetary and manpower limitations, the Commission cannot pursue an active role at this time in this endeavor.

2. Taxation. Another step which the Commission feels would be of the utmost encouragement to the oil and gas industry is the subject of taxation of production. There has been some study made by the Legislature, however this has been inconclusive in nature. Much of the information given to the Legislature was, in my opinion, prejudicial and protecting the interest of individuals rather than being completely factual. As the situation now exists, the oil industry is faced with a great spread of ad valorem taxes upon production. Generally speaking, in Arizona our production ad valorem taxes run approximately 6 to 7% and, as you know, there are some taxing districts within the State wherein the ad valorem tax might run as high as 15%. This unknown factor is feared by producing companies, particularly inasmuch as when planning their budgets they are unable to predict the affect of the taxing structures in Arizona on their income.

It is the suggestion of this Commission that there be considered for the oil and gas industry and perhaps to other extractive industries a 5% severance tax in lieu of all other forms of ad valorem taxes upon production. This money should be collected monthly by the State and disbursements made down to the counties on
a monthly basis. A simple collection system could be evolved similar to that in the State of Texas. In Texas, a staff of some seven people collect upwards to half a billion dollars per year by billing the purchaser monthly. To apply this to Arizona - it would be a simple matter for this Commission to make a tax billing each month to the operator of the oil and/or gas well, based upon the amount of production for the preceding month. This, of course, would necessitate legislation to accomplish not only collection but the levying of the tax. It is the feeling of this Commission that the 5% tax should be based upon 100% of the value of the oil or gas at the wellhead.

It is estimated that income from this would be somewhere between $50,000 and $60,000 per month with no great expenditure of revenue involved in collecting same. The State then could easily arrange for a payment directly to the County involved. By setting the taxing figure of 5% above suggested, this would put Arizona in a favorable competitive position with New Mexico, Colorado, Utah and our other surrounding states.

3. Workmen's Compensation. Of interest to the drillers actually performing drilling operations in this State is the high rate of workmen's compensation now enforced by the State. We investigated this situation in depth some two years ago and found that an artificially high rate had been established for the oil and gas.
industry. This was based upon an unknown experience factor, that is, there had been no loss experience with the exception of one case within the State at that time. Similar operations such as water well drilling and mine core drilling enjoyed a much more favorable rate.

It is suggested that careful attention be given to the establishment of a fair workmens compensation rate for the oil and gas industry within this State. A considerable payroll saving to the oil well driller would be most encouraging to that necessary segment of the oil industry.

4. **Registration and Licensing.** Again, concerning oil well drillers, this Commission sponsored legislation to exempt the oil well driller from the necessity of complying with the Contractor's registration and licensing provisions. As you may be aware, the Registrar of Contractors is primarily concerned with the building trades industry. The oil well driller falls under his jurisdiction only by inference. The Attorney General specifically ruled that these provisions are not enforceable against a driller on either Federal or Indian lands, which automatically exempt him on some 75% of the land within the State of Arizona. Consequently, he is faced with a problem of perhaps moving his rig half a mile to a new location and coming under the jurisdiction of the Registrar of Contractors, whereas by not moving he would evade such jurisdiction. To my
knowledge, in such states as Texas, New Mexico, Utah, etc., the oil well driller is specifically exempt from the Contractor's licensing provisions. As you may know, the majority of the legitimate oil well drillers performing in this State come from the Farmington, New Mexico area. Many are quite leery in coming into the State on locations other than Indian Reservations, due to the Contractor's provisions. I am enclosing a copy of House Bill 61 which this Commission sought in our January, 1969 session of the Legislature. This bill failed.

5. Commission. This Commission has sought legislation to increase the efficiency of operation, specifically in requiring a specific date wherein certain reports necessary to this Commission's operation should be filed. Also included in this attempted legislation were sought provisions to help reduce the cost of this Commission and a provision concerning the time within which an action of this Commission could be legally attacked. This latter provision was sought at the insistence of the Attorney General. House Bill 66 was introduced in January, 1969, and failed. However, it failed not on the merits but due to other considerations. A copy of this bill is likewise attached.

It is the desire of this Commission that when appearing before you on July 17th its comments not be considered the "official" position of the Commission. We would like to view this as an
opportunity to exchange ideas and views and to express at some future time the "official" position of this Commission as to its feeling as to the encouragement of the industry within the State.

Sincerely,

Oil & Gas Conservation Commission

By [signature]
John Bannister
Executive Secretary
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLAIMS PAID MONTH OF</th>
<th>411 &amp; Gas Co. Regulatory Commission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIVISION</td>
<td>IDENTIFICATION CODE NO. 1-7-10-000-0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLASSIFICATION</td>
<td>DISTRIBUTION OF EXPENDITURES (Per 06-1967 June 1967)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLAIRCIF.</td>
<td>OBJECT CODE NO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Salaries, wages: employees</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Per diem: board members</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Postage</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Telephone, telegraph</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Travel - State meals and lodging</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Mileage reimbursed private car</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Fares for planes, trains, etc.</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 State-owned auto expense</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Telephone, taxi, etc.</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Registration fees at meetings</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Professional services</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 221004</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 221004</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Travel-out of State meals and lodging</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Fares for plane, train</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 State-owned auto expenses</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Telephone, taxi, etc.</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Registration - meetings</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Miscellaneous (blueprint service, Ariz. U.)</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Mine, Museum, &amp; Arizona</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Rental, office equip. copy machine</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Rent, office equip. copy machine</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Legal adv., notary, court, recording fees</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Transportation of things (well samples)</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Miscellaneous (blueprint service, Ariz. U.)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Mine, Museum, &amp; Arizona</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Rental, office equip. copy machine</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Rental, office equip. copy machine</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Office supplies</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Scientific supplies</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Other supplies (film)</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 Miscellaneous</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Supplies</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 411</td>
<td>411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 Bond (notary public)</td>
<td>421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 1-7-67</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 Subscription/organization dues</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 To create revolving fund</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 Rent, office equip. copy machine</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 Office equipment/furniture</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 Office equipment/furniture</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 Office equipment/furniture</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 Office equipment/furniture</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 Office equipment/furniture</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 Office equipment/furniture</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 To create revolving fund</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47 To create revolving fund</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48 To create revolving fund</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49 To create revolving fund</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 To create revolving fund</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 To create revolving fund</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 To create revolving fund</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 To create revolving fund</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 To create revolving fund</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 To create revolving fund</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>6,376.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month</td>
<td>Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1939</td>
<td>Permits to Drill</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Current Month Receipts:** 175.00

**Transfers In:** (1,862.00)

**Balances Brought Forward:** 5,457.25

**Totals - Month and Year to Date:** 3,770.25 1,070.00

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Claims Paid</th>
<th>Expenditures</th>
<th>Total Amount Available Year to Date</th>
<th>Claims Paid Year to Date</th>
<th>Outstanding Encumbrances</th>
<th>Unencumbered Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June 1939</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Personal Services:**

1. General Fund: 36,350.00 36,043.76 286.24
2. Conservation Fund: 2,600.00 2,700.00 - 20.00
3. Travel & Entertainment: 6,000.00 5,131.87 868.13
4. Professional Services: 1,400.00 1,400.00 - 0.00
5. Capital Outlay: 550.00 571.92 - 21.92
6. Miscellaneous: 2,500.00 2,500.00 - -

**Museum of Arizona:**

2. Arizona Bureau of Mines: 2,500.00 2,500.00 - -

**Totals:**

- 6,516.00 63,701.23 841.16 3,722.57

FORM 12-A POST AUDITOR
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June 12, 1969

Memo: Commissioners
From: John Bannister
Re: Report of Activity

There will be no Commission meeting during the month of June. I have discussed our financial situation with Chairman Ralph Bilby. Briefly, the Commission's In-State Travel Funds are not sufficient to pay the Commissioners' expenses to attend this meeting. As you are aware, this is an annual problem with this Commission. It is my personal feeling that it is unfair to call upon our Commissioners without sufficient financial ability to reimburse them at least for their expenses. I know of no way at present to correct this yearly budget problem. You will recall I have discussed this with you on several occasions and it is the general feeling that when such situation arises the most essential use of our State Travel Funds is to allow Mr. Scullock and myself to fulfill our functions of field inspections.

I feel that the Commission's meeting of May 21, 1969 in Douglas was quite successful in all phases except attendance by the local residents. It is my feeling that the program the Commission put on was most commendable and, in spite of the poor attendance, we have received much favorable publicity.

I am enclosing a letter setting forth a testing program worked out by the Commission, Kerr-McGee Corporation and Humble Oil Company as to the testing procedure for the Kerr-McGee No. 11 Navajo Well in accordance with our Order No. 21A in Case 36. As you will recall, this was the case discussed at our meeting in Douglas. Mr. Ewell Walsh was in attendance at this meeting on behalf of the Commission and both Mr. Walsh and I are well satisfied with the testing procedure.

I would, however, point out that the termination time as set forth in Section 7 of the procedure is somewhat less than ideal. It would be preferable to have established a longer time for continuous bottom hole pressure readings in the No. 11 Navajo Well,
however, in consideration of the great and continued cost of this testing schedule and in consideration of the fact that there is no feasible way to anticipate a termination time which would guarantee the last bit of desired information, the 192 hour maximum time consequently represents a compromise. However, both Mr. Walsh and I feel that this will allow a sufficient time to be able to plot the necessary pressure information. In general the testing procedure outlined is most satisfactory.

You will note our reference to Order 24 wherein an annual bottom hole pressure test was required for certain key wells within the field. Insufficient as we contemplate the testing procedure on the No. 11 Havajo Well to be within the time period of less than a month of that time set forth in Order 24, we are allowing Kerr-McGee Corporation to conduct said tests on the Nos. 1 and 2 Wells at this time. This will reduce lost revenue to both the State and Kerr-McGee Corporation through excessive shutting in of production.

We have also provided the Kerr-McGee No. 9 and Humble No. 1, also key wells as set forth in Order No. 24, to be tested after the completion of the test on the No. 11 Well. It is felt that by delaying test on these two we will accumulate a greater amount of pressure information as to the Bineh-bi-Keyah reservoir. I am sure that this re-arranging of our annual bottom hole pressure test will meet with your approval. Should you have any questions concerning this, please advise.

As you have been advised, we have received few complaints concerning the drilling of the well by A. A. Hopkins, Jr., within the town limits of Sedona. Each of these complaints have been answered and the situation has completely quieted down. Mr. Hopkins in raising money for an additional four wells in the Sedona area and for two wells somewhere in the Flagstaff vicinity. Currently it is anticipated that O'Donnell and Sturgis Drilling Company of Phoenix will drill these wells.

Mr. Hopkins operations have been unduly delayed in that the Coconino National Forest has not issued its permits for his activities on forest lands. You will recall Mr. O'Donnell reported this situation to you at our meeting in Douglas. This Commission has been working through the Bureau of Land Management and the Regional Forester's Office in Albuquerque in an attempt to correct this situation. Of some six pending permits (pending since February of this year) only one location has been cleared at this time. The cleared location, however, is not geologically favorable in view of the drilling that has occurred since the application for it was made.

The I.O.C.C. meeting for June will be held in Casper, commencing the 15th of June, and I will be in attendance.
As usual, I have been invited to lecture at A.S.U. on the subject of oil and gas conservation in Arizona at the annual Teachers Conservation Workshop. This will be given on July 7th.

New Permits:

Hopkins #1 Jordan Strat, SE/4 SE/4 6-17N-6E, Coconino County

Gulf #1 Navajo 1481, SW/4 SW/4 11-4N-7W, Apache County

Gulf #1 Navajo 1797, SW/4 SW/4 36-6N-7W, Apache County
June 10, 1969

GEOLOGIST'S REPORT

FROM: JAMES SCURLOCK
TO: COMMISSIONERS

APACHE COUNTY

Consolidated Oil & Gas #1 Navajo
T41N, R28E, G & SRM
Sec. 2: SW/4 SW/4
Permit #481
East Boundary Butte Field
Still testing on pump. Currently pumping 3 barrels of oil
plus 2 barrels of water per day.

Consolidated Oil & Gas #2 Navajo
T41N, R28E, G & SRM
Sec. 3: NE/4 SE/4
East Boundary Butte Field
Still a waiting location.

Consolidated Oil & Gas #3 Navajo
T41N, R28E, G & SRM
Sec. 3: NE/4 SW/4
East Boundary Butte Field
Permit #490
Still testing on pump. Making load oil; no water.

Kerr-McGee #C-1 Navajo
T36N, R30E, G & SRM
Sec. 33: NW/4 SW/4
Permit #484
Still testing on pump. Currently pumping 3 barrels of oil
plus 2 barrels of water per day.

Development well in East Boundary Butte Field. This well
has been completed for 25 barrels of oil per day. Gas pro-
duction is too small to measure.
Gulf #1 Navajo 1219
TGN, R7W, NSM
Sec. 32: NE/4 NE/4
Permit #496
Spudded 5-14-69. Set 8-5/8" at 366 with 179 sacks of cement. Total depth 2778'. No cores; no DST's; no shows. Plugged and abandoned 5-29-69.
Formation tops:
- De Chelly
- Organ Rock
- Hernandez
- Mississippian
- Elbert
- McCracken
- Aneth
- Precambrian
- Granite

Depco #1 Navajo 1546
T38N, R30E, G & SRM
Sec. 2: NW/4 NW/4
Permit #497
Wildcat located 15 miles north of Dineh bi Keyah Field at the foot of the Carrizo Mountains. Drilling at 3733'. No cores; no drill stem tests. Top of the Hermosa formation 2720'. Proposed depth 5000'. They are looking primarily for igneous sill production.

AAA Fishing Tool #E3 Navajo
T41N, R26E, G & SRM
Sec. 9: NE/4 NE/4
Permit #483
East Boundary Butte development well. Spudded 5-17-69. Set 10-3/4" at 352' with 225 sacks. Total depth 5175'.
Set 7" O.D. casing at 5173' with 250 sacks.
Drill Stem Test #1: 4730'-4776' recovered 100' of fresh oil. 715' heavily oil-cut mud.
Drill Stem Test #2: 4800'-4923' recovered 90' gas-cut mud, 1165' heavily oil-cut mud, 270' mud-cut oil.
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APACHE COUNTY (CONT.)

AAA Fishing Tool #E3 Navajo (Cont.)
Drill Stem Test #3: 5120'-5173' recovered 180' slightly oil-cut mud and water, 1920' black salty sulphur water.
Currently moving in completion rig.

Gulf #1 Navajo 1481
T4N, R7W, NSM
Sec. 11: SW/4 SW/4
Permit #499
Located 14 miles north of Saw Mill, Arizona. Contractor is Circle A Drilling Company. Spudded 5-4-69. Set 8-5/8" at 277' with 225 sacks. Proposed depth 2500'. Currently drilling at 300'.

Gulf #1 Navajo 1797
T4N, R7W, NSM
Sec. 36: SW/4 SW/4
Permit #500
Located 8 miles north of Saw Mill, Arizona. Location is being prepared. Proposed depth is 2500'. Contractor will be Circle A Drilling Company.

YAVAPAI COUNTY

Hopkins #34-1Y Federal
T18N, R5E, G & SRM
Sec. 34: SW/4 NW/4
Permit #482
Well continues to pump approximately 50 barrels of water per day.

Hopkins #34-2 Federal
T18N, R5E, G & SRM
Sec. 34: NE/4 NW/4
Permit #495
All water encountered was fresh; no oil shows. Plugged and abandoned 5-13-69.
YAVAPAI COUNTY (CONT.)

Hopkins #34-2 Federal (Cont.)
Formation tops:
- Mississippian: 504'
- Devonian Martin: 662'
- Cambrian Tapeats: 1167'
- Granite Wash: 1192'
- Granite: 1215'
- Total depth: 1217'

COCONINO COUNTY

Hopkins #1 Jordan Strat
T17N, R6E, G & SRM
Sec. 6: SE/4 SE/4
Permit #498
Contractor is O'Donnell & Ewing. Total depth 1254 in Granite.
Core #1: 854-74' recovered 8" of dolomite; no show.
Core #2: 1060-80' recovered 20' of dolomite; no show.
Well has been turned over to land owner for water well.
Rig is still on location. Well is capped.
Formation tops:
- Devonian Martin: 854'
- Granite: 1220'
There were some complaints from the townspeople; however, when they learned that they would have to post a bond in order to obtain an injunction against the well, the complaints were dropped.

SCUTTLEBUTT:

Have visited Arizona Helium Plant. They now have made their first shipment of helium. On 5-23-69 the plant sold 90,267 cubic feet of helium (partial truck load) to Jack Kelly of Amarillo. Plant has been averaging about 6,000 cubic feet of grade A helium per day which is going into storage. Thus, the outlook continues to look poor indeed unless the company can establish additional helium reserves.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLAIMS PAID YEAR TO DATE</th>
<th>OBJECT CODE NO.</th>
<th>DISTRIBUTION OF EXPENDITURES</th>
<th>CLAIMS PAID MONTH OF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29.7/3.56</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1 Salaries, wages: employees</td>
<td>3.215.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/3.72</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>2 For dies/f board members</td>
<td>3.88.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>232</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>4 Postage</td>
<td>112.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/52.2</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>5 Telephone, telegraph</td>
<td>112.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/65.2</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>6 Travel - State meals and lodging</td>
<td>112.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.12.2</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>8 Mileage reimbursed private car</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7.6</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>8 Fares for planes, trains, etc.</td>
<td>9.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/45.2</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>9 State-owned auto expense</td>
<td>134.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.15</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>10 Telephone, taxi, etc.</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>226</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11 Registration fees at meetings</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.8.89</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>14 Travel-out of State meals and lodging</td>
<td>58.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>233</td>
<td>15 Fares for plane, train</td>
<td>49.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>234</td>
<td>16 State-owned auto expense</td>
<td>6.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>236</td>
<td>17 Telephone, taxi, etc.</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>238</td>
<td>18 Registration - meetings</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>626.43</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>20 Professional services</td>
<td>350.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/2.67</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>22 Mtns/repairs to office equip., furniture</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>294</td>
<td>23 Legal advt., notary, court, recording fees</td>
<td>24.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>295</td>
<td>24 Transportation of things (well samples)</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/4.21</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>25 Miscellaneous (blueprint service, Ariz Bu</td>
<td>4.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Mines, Museum N. Arizona</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.02.45</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29 Office supplies</td>
<td>109.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>325.52</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30 Scientific supplies</td>
<td>20.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>31 Mtns supplies (duplicate keys)</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.36</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>32 Other supplies (film)</td>
<td>25.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>33 Janitor supplies</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>411</td>
<td>35 Rent, office equip: copy machine</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.56</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>37 Bond (notary public)</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.55</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>38 Subscription/organization dues</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/7.92</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>41 Office equipment/Furniture</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250.05</td>
<td>995</td>
<td>46 To create revol fund</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57.184.98</td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>5,130.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

#### RECEIPTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month of</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Available Receipts</th>
<th>Appropriated Receipts</th>
<th>Unappropriated Receipts</th>
<th>Total Receipts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July 1969</td>
<td>Permits to Drill</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### EXPENDITURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month of</th>
<th>Fund Titles</th>
<th>Total Amount Available</th>
<th>Claims Paid Year to Date</th>
<th>Outstanding Encumbrances</th>
<th>Unencumbered Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July 1969</td>
<td>1 Personal Services</td>
<td>36,350.00</td>
<td>35,701.36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,648.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 General Fund</td>
<td>20,000.00</td>
<td>17,000.00</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 Conservation Fund</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>800.00</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 Current Expenditures</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
<td>2,345.00</td>
<td>655.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 Travel - State</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>4,020.00</td>
<td>980.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 Travel - Out of State</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
<td>1,890.00</td>
<td>1,110.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 Current Fixed Charges</td>
<td>1,500.00</td>
<td>1,245.15</td>
<td>254.85</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 Professional Services</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>876.62</td>
<td>123.38</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9 Capital Outlay</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>37.02</td>
<td>462.98</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 Museum B. Arizona</td>
<td>5,500.00</td>
<td>4,205.60</td>
<td>1,294.40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11 Arizona Bureau Mines</td>
<td>5,500.00</td>
<td>4,205.60</td>
<td>1,294.40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### TOTALS

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5,130.70</td>
<td>68,045.00</td>
<td>57,189.98</td>
<td>9,665.92</td>
<td>8,414.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**FORM 15A POST AUDITOR**
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---

**Balance:** 5,130.70

**Total Receipts:** 68,045.00

**Total Expenditures:** 57,189.98

**Balance Year to Date:** 9,665.92

**Balance:** 8,414.10